

2019

MCDR Standardized Review Sheet

Guideline for % of work performed in Michigan

SCORE SHEET

The selection team will complete one consensus score sheet.

95% - 100%	5 Points
80% - 94%	4 points 3 points 2 points 1 point
50% - 79%	
35% - 49%	
10% - 24%	
Less than 10%	0 points

This score sheet will be used to score proposals. This is not to be used for low bid.

JOB TITLE FOR REVIEW: MOUND ROAD INFRA GRANT QBS PROCESS TO SELECT PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR ENTIRE PROJECT
 DESCRIPTION (if no JN/CS): ENGINEERING SERVICES INCLUDE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING ON INNOVATIVE MOUND ROAD CONTRACT

CROSSED OUT = NOT REQUIRED ** = OPTIONAL ALL OTHER SPACES REQUIRED
 ****ALL VENDORS MUST BE SCORED****

VENDOR NAME: HNTB		Tier III	
		Total Pts.	Achieved Pts.
Understanding of Service. Describe understanding of the service, innovations, and/or safety program intended to be proposed. This information is to be based on the scope of services.		30	29
Past Performance. Review of previous projects. Examples have similar budget, size and scope, complexity of elements, were actual lead on those projects.		20	20
Qualifications of Team. Provide organization chart, if required. Describe the structure of the project team including the roles of all key personnel and sub vendors. For each sub vendor, describe role in service and include what percent of the named role that the sub vendor is expected to provide. Provide resumes for each of the key staff of the prime and sub vendors stated above.		40	37
Safety Improvements. Based on suggestions provided in RFP. Does plan use comprehensive approach to safety changes in areas of geometrics, AVI, boulevard layout, entrance and exits from businesses, Location of Michigan lefts.		25	24
Public Outreach. Have an outline for outreach between various groups. The submittal should discuss public outreach and business outreach, as well as, media. Further team must be aware and describe interaction with Macomb County departments, military, legislature, MDOT, FHWA, and Warren and Sterling Heights.		25	24
Quality Assurance/Quality Control Process. Outline plan for this service including background information of selected manager for this service. Person performing the quality control review must have extensive experience with MDOT standards and practices.		15	14
Location. The percentage of work performed in Michigan will be used for all selections unless the project is for on-site inspection or survey activities, then location should be scored using the distance from the consultant office to the on-site inspection or survey activity. The combination of location and percentage of work performed in Michigan should not exceed 5 points. (See guideline for scoring above).		5	5
Minimum/Maximum Subtotal prior to Presentation (if required)		00/160	153/160
**Presentation. General evaluation of quality of presentation and technical proposal. IF NEEDED.			
COMMENTS			
Minimum/Maximum Grand Total Following Presentation			
SELECTION TEAM NAME <i>Bryan Santo</i>	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE <i>Bryan Santo</i>	DATE	<i>1-18-19</i>
SELECTION TEAM NAME <i>Mark DeLudin</i>	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE <i>Mark DeLudin</i>	DATE	<i>1-18-19</i>
SELECTION TEAM NAME <i>John Paul Ren</i>	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE <i>John Paul Ren</i>	DATE	<i>1-18-19</i>
SELECTION TEAM NAME <i>JOHN ABRAHAM</i>	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE <i>John Abraham</i>	DATE	<i>1-18-19</i>
SELECTION TEAM NAME <i>John J. Cramm</i>	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE <i>John J. Cramm</i>	DATE	<i>1-18-19</i>

2019

MCDR Standardized Review Sheet

Guideline for % of work performed in Michigan

SCORE SHEET

The selection team will complete one consensus score sheet.

95% - 100%	5 Points
80% - 94%	4 points 3
50% - 79%	points 2 points
35% - 49%	1 point
10% - 24%	
Less than 10%	0 points

This score sheet will be used to score proposals. This is not to be used for low bid.

JOB TITLE FOR REVIEW: MOUND ROAD INFRA GRANT QBS PROCESS TO SELECT PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR ENTIRE PROJECT
 DESCRIPTION (If no JN/CS): ENGINEERING SERVICES INCLUDE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING ON INNOVATIVE MOUND ROAD CONTRACT

CROSSED OUT = NOT REQUIRED ** = OPTIONAL ALL OTHER SPACES REQUIRED
 ****ALL VENDORS MUST BE SCORED****

	VENDOR NAME: Team II	Tier III	
		Total Pts.	Achieved Pts.
Understanding of Service. Describe understanding of the service, innovations, and/or safety program intended to be proposed. This information is to be based on the scope of services.		30	28
Past Performance. Review of previous projects. Examples have similar budget, size and scope, complexity of elements, were actual lead on those projects.		20	19
Qualifications of Team. Provide organization chart, if required. Describe the structure of the project team including the roles of all key personnel and sub vendors. For each sub vendor, describe role in service and include what percent of the named role that the sub vendor is expected to provide. Provide resumes for each of the key staff of the prime and sub vendors stated above.		40	33
Safety Improvements. Based on suggestions provided in RFP. Does plan use comprehensive approach to safety changes in areas of geometrics, AV1, boulevard layout, entrance and exits from businesses, Location of Michigan lefts.		25	23
Public Outreach. Have an outline for outreach between various groups. The submittal should discuss public outreach and business outreach, as well as, media. Further team must be aware and describe interaction with Macomb County departments, military, legislature, MDOOT, FHWA, and Warren and Sterling Heights.		25	20
Quality Assurance/Quality Control Process. Outline plan for this service including background information of selected manager for this service. Person performing the quality control review must have extensive experience with MDOOT standards and practices.		15	13
Location. The percentage of work performed in Michigan will be used for all selections unless the project is for on-site inspection or survey activities, then location should be scored using the distance from the consultant office to the on-site inspection or survey activity. The combination of location and percentage of work performed in Michigan should not exceed 5 points. (See guideline for scoring above).		5	4
Minimum/Maximum Subtotal prior to Presentation (if required)		00/160	140/160
**Presentation. General evaluation of quality of presentation and technical proposal. IF NEEDED.	COMMENTS		
Minimum/Maximum Grand Total Following Presentation			
SELECTION TEAM NAME	Bryan Santo	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	MARK DECIN	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	John Paul Rea	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	JOHN ABRAHAM	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	John J. Crumm	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19

MCDR Standardized Review Sheet

Guideline for % of work performed in Michigan

SCORE SHEET

The selection team will complete one consensus score sheet.

95% - 100%	5 Points
80% - 94%	4 points 3
50% - 79%	points 2 points
35% - 49%	1 point
10% - 24%	
Less than 10%	0 points

This score sheet will be used to score proposals. This is not to be used for low bid.

JOB TITLE FOR REVIEW: MOUND ROAD INFRA GRANT QBS PROCESS TO SELECT PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR ENTIRE PROJECT
 DESCRIPTION (if no JN/CS): ENGINEERING SERVICES INCLUDE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING ON INNOVATIVE MOUND ROAD CONTRACT

CROSSED OUT = NOT REQUIRED ** = OPTIONAL ALL OTHER SPACES REQUIRED
 ****ALL VENDORS MUST BE SCORED****

	VENDOR NAME: Team III	Tier III	
		Total Pts.	Achieved Pts.
Understanding of Service. Describe understanding of the service, innovations, and/or safety program intended to be proposed. This information is to be based on the scope of services.		30	27
Past Performance. Review of previous projects. Examples have similar budget, size and scope, complexity of elements, were actual lead on those projects.		20	18
Qualifications of Team. Provide organization chart, if required. Describe the structure of the project team including the roles of all key personnel and sub vendors. For each sub vendor, describe role in service and include what percent of the named role that the sub vendor is expected to provide. Provide resumes for each of the key staff of the prime and sub vendors stated above.		40	32
Safety Improvements. Based on suggestions provided in RFP. Does plan use comprehensive approach to safety changes in areas of geometrics, AV1, boulevard layout, entrance and exits from businesses, Location of Michigan lefts.		25	22
Public Outreach. Have an outline for outreach between various groups. The submittal should discuss public outreach and business outreach, as well as, media. Further team must be aware and describe interaction with Macomb County departments, military, legislature, MDOT, FHWA, and Warren and Sterling Heights.		25	19
Quality Assurance/Quality Control Process. Outline plan for this service including background information of selected manager for this service. Person performing the quality control review must have extensive experience with MDOT standards and practices.		15	12
Location. The percentage of work performed in Michigan will be used for all selections unless the project is for on-site inspection or survey activities, then location should be scored using the distance from the consultant office to the on-site inspection or survey activity. The combination of location and percentage of work performed in Michigan should not exceed 5 points. (See guideline for scoring above).		5	5
Minimum/Maximum Subtotal prior to Presentation (if required)		00/160	131/160
**Presentation. General evaluation of quality of presentation and technical proposal. IF NEEDED.	COMMENTS		
Minimum/Maximum Grand Total Following Presentation			
SELECTION TEAM NAME	Bryan Santo	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	MARK DELPIN	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	John Paul Rea	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	JOHN ABRAHAM	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19
SELECTION TEAM NAME	John J. Crumm	SELECTION TEAM MEMBER SIGNATURE	DATE 1-18-19